Time and Band Limiting for Matrix Valued Functions, an Example*

F. Alberto GRÜNBAUM †, *Inés PACHARONI* ‡ *and Ignacio Nahuel ZURRIÁN* ‡

† *Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley 94705, USA*
E-mail: *grunbaum@math.berkeley.edu*

‡ *CIEM-FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba 5000, Argentina*
E-mail: *pacharon@famaf.unc.edu.ar, zurrian@famaf.unc.edu.ar*

Received February 11, 2015, in final form May 30, 2015; Published online June 12, 2015
http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2015.044

arXiv:1410.1232v3 [math.SP] 12 Jun 2015

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to extend to a situation involving matrix valued orthogonal polynomials and spherical functions, a result that traces its origin and its importance to work of Claude Shannon in laying the mathematical foundations of information theory and to a remarkable series of papers by D. Slepian, H. Landau and H. Pollak. To our knowledge, this is the first example showing in a non-commutative setup that a bispectral property implies that the corresponding global operator of "time and band limiting" admits a commuting local operator. This is a noncommutative analog of the famous prolate spheroidal wave operator.

Key words: time-band limiting; double concentration; matrix valued orthogonal polynomials

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C45; 22E45; 33C47

1 Introduction

In a ground-breaking paper laying down the mathematical foundations of communication theory, Claude Shannon [21, 22] considers a basic problem in harmonic analysis and signal processing: how to best concentrate a function both in physical and frequency space. This issue was an important part of the work of C. Shannon for several years after the publication of this paper. The problem was appeared earlier in several versions and one should at least mention the role of the Heisenberg inequality in this context: for a nice and simple proof it – due to W. Pauli – see Hermann Weyl's book [32].

What is really novel in Shannon and coworkers's look at this problem is the following question: suppose you consider an unknown signal $f(t)$ of finite duration, i.e., the signal is non-zero only in the interval $[-T,T]$. The data you have are the values of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f(k)$ of f for values of k in the interval $[-W,W]$. What is the best use you can make of this data?

In practice, the values of $\mathcal{F}f(k)$ will be corrupted by noise and one is dealing with a typical situation in signal processing: recovering an image from partial and noisy data in the presence of some apriori information.

This problem was treated originally by Shannon himself but a full solution had to wait for the joint work, in different combinations, of three remarkable workers at Bell labs in the 1960's: David Slepian, Henry Landau and Henry Pollak, see [12, 13, 25, 27, 29].

A very good account of this development is a pair of papers by David Slepian [26, 28]. The first one is essentially the second Shannon lecture given at the International Symposium on Information Theory in 1975. The abstract starts with the sentence "It is easy to argue that real

*This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on Exact Solvability and Symmetry Avatars in honour of Luc Vinet. The full collection is available at http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/ESSA2014.html

signals must be bandlimited. It is also easy to argue that they cannot be so". The ideas in this paper took their definite form in [14].

The second paper is on the occasion of the John von Neumann lecture given at the SIAM 30th anniversary meeting in 1982. Here is a quote from the second paper: "There was a lot of serendipity here, clearly. And then our solution, too, seemed to hinge on a lucky accident-namely that we found a second-order differential operator that commuted with an integral operator that was at the heart of the problem".

What these workers found was that instead of looking for the unknown $f(t)$ itself one should consider a certain integral operator with discrete spectrum in the open interval $(0,1)$ and a remarkable "spectral gap": about $[4WT]$ (integer part of $2W \times 2T$) eigenvalues are positive, and all the remaining ones are essentially zero. They argue that in the presence of noisy data one should try to compute the projection of $f(t)$ on the linear span of the eigenfunctions with "large" eigenvalues. The effective computation of these eigenfunctions is made possible by replacing the integral operator by the commuting differential one alluded to by D. Slepian (both have simple spectrum). From a theoretical point of view these eigenfunctions are the same, but using the differential operator instead of the integral one, we have a manageable numerical problem. For a very recent account of several computational issues see [15, 11] and [1]. For new areas of applications involving (sometimes) vector-valued quantities on the sphere, see [10, 20, 23, 24].

We still have to answer the question: How do you explain the existence of this local commuting operator?

To this day nobody has a simple explanation for this miracle. Indeed there has been a systematic effort to see if the "bispectral property" first considered in [3], guarantees the commutativity of these two operators, a global and a local one. A few papers where this question has been taken up, include [4, 6, 5, 7, 8, 9, 18, 19].

The results in the present paper are a (first) natural extension of the work in [4, 8], where the classical orthogonal polynomials played a central role, to a matrix-valued case involving matrix orthogonal polynomials. In a case such as in [4, 8] or in the present paper where physical and frequency space are of a different nature (one is continuous and the other one is discrete) one can deal (as explained in [4, 8]) with either an integral operator or with a full matrix. Each one of these global objects will depend on two parameters that play the role of (T, W) in Shannon's case, and one will be looking for a commuting local object, i.e., a second-order differential operator or a tridiagonal matrix. In this paper we will be dealing with a full matrix and a (block) tridiagonal one.

Finally a word about possible applications. The paper [8] was written with no particular application in mind, but with the expectation that the analysis of functions defined on the sphere could benefit from it. A few years later some applications did emerge, see [23, 24] and its references. One can only hope that the present paper dealing with matrix valued functions defined on spheres will find a natural application in the future.

2 Preliminaries

Let $W = W(x)$ be a weight matrix of size R in the open interval (a,b). By this we mean a complex $R \times R$-matrix valued integrable function W on the interval (a,b) such that $W(x)$ is positive definitive almost everywhere and with finite moments of all orders. Let $Q_w(x)$ be a sequence of real valued matrix orthonormal polynomials with respect to the weight $W(x)$. Consider the following two Hilbert spaces: The space $L^2((a,b),W(t)dt)$, or simply denoted by $L^2(W)$, of all matrix valued measurable matrix valued functions $f(x)$, $x \in (a,b)$, satisfying $\int_a^b \operatorname{tr}(f(x)W(x)f^*(x))dx < \infty$ and the space $\ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ of all real valued $R \times R$ matrix sequences $(C_w)_{w\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $\sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr}(C_w C_w^*) < \infty$.

The map $\mathcal{F}\colon \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$ given by

$$(A_w)_{w=0}^{\infty} \longmapsto \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} A_w Q_w(x)$$

is an isometry. If the polynomials are dense in $L^2(W)$, this map is unitary with the inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\colon L^2(W) \longrightarrow \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ given by

$$f \longmapsto A_w = \int_a^b f(x) W(x) Q_w^*(x) dx.$$

We call our map $\mathcal{F}$ to remind ourselves of the usual Fourier transform. Here $\mathbb{N}_0$ takes up the role of "physical space" and the interval (a, b) the role of "frequency space". This is, clearly, a noncommutative extension of the problem raised by C. Shannon since he was concerned with scalar valued functions and we are dealing with matrix valued ones.

The *time limiting operator*, at level N acts on $\ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ by simply setting equal to zero all the components with index larger than N. We denote it by χ_N. The *band limiting operator*, at level α, acts on $L^2(W)$ by multiplication by the characteristic function of the interval (a, α), $\alpha \leq b$. This operator will be denoted by χ_α.

One could consider restricting the band to an arbitrary subinterval (a_1, b_1). However, the algebraic properties exhibited here, see Section 5 and beyond, hold only with this restriction. A similar situation arises in the classical case going all the way back to Shannon.

Consider the problem of determining a function f, from the following data: f has support on the finite set $\{0, \ldots, N\}$ and its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$ is known on a compact set $[a, \alpha]$. This can be formalized as follows

$$\chi_\alpha \mathcal{F} f = g = \text{known}, \qquad \chi_N f = f.$$

We can combine the two equations into

$$Ef = \chi_\alpha \mathcal{F} \chi_N f = g.$$

To analyze this problem we need to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E\colon \ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow L^2(W)$. These are given by the eigenvectors of the operators

$$E^*E = \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_\alpha \mathcal{F} \chi_N \qquad \text{and} \qquad S_2 = EE^* = \chi_\alpha \mathcal{F} \chi_N \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_\alpha.$$

The operator E^*E, acting in $\ell^2(M_R, \mathbb{N}_0)$ is just a finite dimensional block-matrix M, and each block is given by

$$(M)_{m,n} = (E^*E)_{m,n} = \int_a^\alpha Q_m(x) W(x) Q^*{}_n w(x) dx, \qquad 0 \leq m, n \leq N.$$

The second operator $S_2 = EE^*$ acts in $L^2((a, \alpha), W(t)dt)$ by means of the integral kernel

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{w=0}^{N} Q_w(x) Q^*{}_w(y).$$

Consider now the problem of finding the eigenfunctions of E^*E and EE^*. For arbitrary N and α there is no hope of doing this analytically, and one has to resort to numerical methods and this is not an easy problem. Of all the strategies one can dream of solving this problem, none sounds so appealing as that of finding an operator with simple spectrum which would have the same eigenfunctions as the original operators. This is exactly what Slepian, Landau and Pollak did in the scalar case, when dealing with the real line and the actual Fourier transform. They discovered (the analog of) the following properties:

- For each N, α there exists a symmetric tridiagonal matrix L, with simple spectrum, commuting with M.
- For each N, α there exists a selfadjoint differential operator D, with simple spectrum, commuting with the integral operator $S_2 = EE^*$.

3 From the real line to the sphere for matrix valued functions

In this paper the role of the real line will be taken up by the n-dimensional sphere. We will consider 2×2 matrix valued functions defined on the sphere with the appropriate invariance that makes them functions of the colatitude θ and we will use $x = \cos(\theta)$ as the variable. The role of the Fourier transform will be taken by the expansion of our functions in terms of a basis of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials described below. This is similar to the situation discussed in [8] except for the crucial fact that our functions are now matrix valued. This situation has, to the best of our knowledge, not been considered before.

The matrix valued orthogonal polynomials considered here are those studied in [16], arising from the spherical functions of fundamental representations associated to the n-dimensional sphere $S^n \simeq G/K$, where $(G, K) = (\mathrm{SO}(n+1), \mathrm{SO}(n))$, studied in [31].

These spherical functions give rise to sequences $\{P_w\}_{w\geq 0}$ of matrix orthogonal polynomials depending on two parameters n and $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < p < n$

$$P_w(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n+1}C_w^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(x) + \frac{1}{p+w}C_{w-2}^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(x) & \frac{1}{p+w}C_{w-1}^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(x) \\ \frac{1}{n-p+w}C_{w-1}^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(x) & \frac{1}{n+1}C_w^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(x) + \frac{1}{n-p+w}C_{w-2}^{\frac{n+3}{2}}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C_w^\lambda(x)$ denotes the w-th Gegenbauer polynomial

$$C_w^\lambda(x) = \frac{(2\lambda)_w}{w!}\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-w, w+2\lambda \\ \lambda+1/2\end{matrix}; \frac{1-x}{2}\right), \qquad x \in [-1, 1].$$

We recall that C_w^λ is a polynomial of degree w whose leading coefficient is $\frac{2^w(\lambda)_w}{w!}$, where $(a)_w = a(a+1)\cdots(a+w-1)$ denotes the Pochhammer's symbol.

In particular we have

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{n+1}I, \qquad P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & \frac{1}{p+1} \\ \frac{1}{n-p+1} & x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(n+3)}{2}x^2 - \frac{p}{2(p+2)} & \frac{(n+3)}{p+2}x \\ \frac{(n+3)}{n-p+2}x & \frac{(n+3)}{2}x^2 - \frac{n-p}{2(n-p+2)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us observe that the $\deg(P_w) = w$ and the leading coefficient of P_w is a non singular scalar matrix

$$\frac{2^w\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)_w}{(n+1)w!}\mathrm{Id}.$$

The matrix polynomials $\{P_w\}_{w\geq 0}$ are orthogonal with respect to the matrix valued inner product

$$\langle P, Q\rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} P(x)W(x)Q(x)^* dx,$$

where the weight matrix is given by

$$W(x) = W_{p,n}(x) = \left(1-x^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \begin{pmatrix} px^2+n-p & -nx \\ -nx & (n-p)x^2+p \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \in [-1,1]. \tag{1}$$

Let us observe that by changing p by $n-p$, the weight matrices are conjugated to each other. In fact, by taking $J = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ we get

$$JW_{p,n}J^* = W_{n-p,n}. \tag{2}$$

As a consequence of this fact (or directly from the explicit definition of P_w) we have that $(P_w)_{22}$, the entry $(2,2)$ of P_w, is the same that the entry $(P_w)_{11}$ by replacing p by $n-p$. Also the entry $(2,1)$ of P_w, $(P_w)_{21}$ is the entry $(P_w)_{12}$, if we replace p by $n-p$.

We have that $\langle P_w, P_w\rangle$ is always a diagonal matrix. Moreover one can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle P_w, P_w\rangle = \|P_w\|^2 = {} & \frac{\sqrt{\pi}2^{[w/2]}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1+[\frac{w}{2}]\right)\prod_{k=0}^{[(w-1)/2]}(n+2k+1)}{w!(n+1)(n+2w+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \\ & \times \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{p(n-p+w+1)}{p+w} & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{(n-p)(p+w+1)}{n-p+w} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

We consider the orthonormal sequence of matrix polynomials

$$Q_w = S_w P_w, \tag{3}$$

where $S_w = \|P_w\|^{-1}$ is the inverse of the matrix $\|P_w\|$.

We display the first elements of the sequence $\{Q_w\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}} \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{n-p+1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{p+1}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{5}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\dfrac{(p+1)}{p(n-p+2)}}x & \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{p(n-p+2)(p+1)}} \\ \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{(n-p)(p+2)(n-p+1)}} & \sqrt{\dfrac{(n-p+1)}{(n-p)(p+2)}}x \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

4 The matrix M

Given the sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials $\{P_w\}_{w\geq 0}$ we fix a natural number N and $\alpha \in (-1,1)$ and consider the matrix M of total size $2(N+1)\times 2(N+1)$,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M^{0,0} & M^{0,1} & \dots & M^{0,N} \\ M^{1,0} & M^{1,1} & \dots & M^{1,N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M^{N,0} & M^{N,1} & \dots & M^{N,N} \end{pmatrix},$$

whose (i,j)-block is the 2×2 matrix obtained by taking the inner product of the i-th and j-th *normalized* matrix valued orthogonal polynomials in the interval $[-1,\alpha]$ with $\alpha \leq 1$

$$M^{i,j} = \int_{-1}^{\alpha} Q_i(x)W(x)Q_j(x)^* dx \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i,j \leq N. \tag{5}$$

It should be clear that the restriction to the interval $[-1,\alpha]$ implements "band-limiting" while the restriction to the range $0,1,\dots,N$ takes care of "time-limiting". In the language of [8] where we were dealing with scalar valued functions defined on spheres the first restriction gives us a "spherical cap" while the second one amounts to truncating the expansion in spherical harmonics.

We gather here a few important properties of the matrix M.

The entries of the matrix $M=(M_{rs})_{1\leq r,s\leq 2(N+1)}$ are related with the entries of the block matrices $M^{i,j}=\begin{pmatrix} M_{11}^{i,j} & M_{12}^{i,j} \\ M_{21}^{i,j} & M_{22}^{i,j}\end{pmatrix}$ by

$$M_{2i+1,2j+1}=M_{11}^{i,j},\qquad M_{2i+1,2j}=M_{12}^{i,j-1},\qquad M_{2i,2j+1}=M_{21}^{i-1,j},\qquad M_{2i,2j}=M_{22}^{i-1,j-1}.$$

From the definition (5) it is clear that since $M^{j,i}=(M^{i,j})^*$, M is a symmetric matrix

$$M=M^*.$$

The weight matrices $W_{p,n}$ and $W_{n-p,p}$ are conjugated to each other by (2). Let us denote $M^{i,j}(p)$ the 2×2 matrix with parameter p, we get that the entry 1, 2 of $M^{i,j}$ is equal to the entry 2, 1 by replacing p by $n-p$, i.e.,

$$M_{12}^{i,j}(p)=M_{21}^{i,j}(n-p),\qquad M_{22}^{i,j}(p)=M_{11}^{i,j}(n-p). \tag{6}$$

In Section 7 we will give detailed information about the entries of M.

5 The commutant of M contains block tridiagonal matrices

The aim of this section is to find all block tridiagonal symmetric matrices L such that

$$LM=ML.$$

Notice that in principle there is no guarantee that we will find any such L except for a scalar multiple of the identity. For the problem at hand we need to exhibit matrices L that have a simple spectrum, so that its eigenvectors will automatically be eigenvectors of M.

Our finding is that the vector space of such matrices L is of dimension 4. It consist of the linear span of the matrices L_1, L_2 and L_3 given below. Of course we can always add a multiple of the identity matrix. We do not have at the moment nice and clean proofs of these facts. What we do have are careful symbolic computations, done (independently) in Maxima and in Maple, showing that the space of solutions of the equation $ML=LM$ is always of dimension four and spanned by L_1, L_2, L_3 and the identity matrix.

We are only indicating explicitly the non-zero entries of these symmetric matrices, for $k=1,\dots,N+1$ we have

$$(L_1)_{2k,2k}=\frac{(n-p+k-1)(p+k)}{(p+1)(n-p)},$$

$$(L_1)_{2k-1,2k}=\frac{-(n-p+N+1)(p+N+1)}{\alpha(p+1)(n-2p)}\sqrt{\frac{p(p+k)(n-p+k-1)}{(n-p+k)(p+k-1)(n-p)}},$$

$$(L_1)_{2k,2k+2}=\frac{(N-k+1)(N+n+k+1)}{\alpha(p+1)(n-p)}\sqrt{\frac{k(n-p+k-1)(p+1+k)(n+k)}{(n+2k-1)(n+2k+1)(p+k)(n-p+k)}},$$

$$(L_2)_{2k-1,2k-1}=\frac{(n-p+k)(p+k-1)}{p(n-p+1)},$$

$$(L_2)_{2k-1,2k} = \frac{(n-p+N+1)(p+N+1)}{\alpha(n-p+1)(n-2p)}\sqrt{\frac{(n-p+k)(p+k-1)(n-p)}{p(p+k)(n-p+k-1)}},$$
$$(L_2)_{2k-1,2k+1} = \frac{(N-k+1)(N+n+k+1)}{\alpha p(n-p+1)} \times \sqrt{\frac{k(p+k-1)(n-p+1+k)(n+k)}{(n+2k-1)(n+2k+1)(p+k)(n-p+k)}}.$$

and finally

$$(L_3)_{2k,2k} = \frac{(k-1)(n+k)p(n-p+1)}{(n+2)(p+1)(n-p)},$$
$$(L_3)_{2k-1,2k-1} = \frac{(k-1)(n+k)}{n+2},$$
$$(L_3)_{2k-1,2k} = \frac{(k-1)(n-p+N+1)(p+N+1)(n+k)\sqrt{p}}{\alpha(p+1)(n+2)\sqrt{(n-p)(p+k-1)(p+k)(n-p+k)(n-p+k-1)}},$$
$$(L_3)_{2k-1,2k+1} = \frac{(N-k+1)(N+n+k+1)}{\alpha(n+2)}\frac{\sqrt{k(p+k-1)(n-p+1+k)(n+k)}}{\sqrt{(n+2k-1)(n+2k+1)(p+k)(n-p+k)}},$$
$$(L_3)_{2k,2k+2} = \frac{p(n-p+1)(N-k+1)(N+n+k+1)\sqrt{k(n-p+k-1)(p+k+1)(n+k)}}{\alpha(n+2)(n-p)(p+1)\sqrt{(n+2k-1)(n+2k+1)(p+k)(n-p+k)}}.$$

In terms of the 2×2 blocks we have that

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L^{0,0} & L^{0,1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ L^{1,0} & L^{1,1} & L^{1,2} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & L^{2,1} & L^{2,2} & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & & L^{N,N} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the blocks are of the form

$$\left(L_1\right)^{j,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (L_1)_{2j+1,2j+2} \\ (L_1)_{2j+1,2j+2} & (L_1)_{2j+2,2j+2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j = 0, \dots, N,$$
$$\left(L_1\right)^{j-1,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (L_1)_{2j,2(j+1)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, N,$$
$$\left(L_2\right)^{j,j} = \begin{pmatrix} (L_2)_{2j+1,2j+1} & (L_2)_{2j+1,2j+2} \\ (L_2)_{2j+1,2j+2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j = 0, \dots, N,$$
$$\left(L_2\right)^{j-1,j} = \begin{pmatrix} (L_2)_{2j-1,2j+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, N,$$
$$\left(L_3\right)^{j,j} = \begin{pmatrix} (L_3)_{2j+1,2j+1} & (L_3)_{2j+1,2j+2} \\ (L_3)_{2j+1,2j+2} & (L_3)_{2j+2,2j+2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j = 0, \dots, N,$$
$$\left(L_3\right)^{j-1,j} = \begin{pmatrix} (L_3)_{2j-1,2j+1} & 0 \\ 0 & (L_3)_{2j,2(j+1)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

These matrices L are pentadiagonal matrices, moreover the off-diagonal two-by-two blocks are diagonal matrices.

In the scalar case the matrix L is unique up to shifts and scaling, in the 2×2 case at hand this is no longer true.

6 The spectrum of L_1

For the purpose at hand it is enough to exhibit one matrix with simple spectrum satisfying $ML = LM$. Here we give a "hands on" argument to prove that the matrix L_1 has simple spectrum. From this, and the commutativity established earlier, it follows that every eigenvector of L_1 is automatically an eigenvector of M, and we have achieved our goal: for each value of the parameters (α, N) we have a numerically efficient way to compute the eigenvectors of M. As a referee has suggested, a similar argument could be made to work in the case of L_2, L_3.

First observe the structure of the matrix L_1. For $N = 3$ we have

$$L_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & \ell_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{12} & \ell_{22} & 0 & \ell_{24} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \ell_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_{24} & \ell_{34} & \ell_{44} & 0 & \ell_{46} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ell_{56} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ell_{46} & \ell_{56} & \ell_{66} & 0 & \ell_{68} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ell_{78} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ell_{68} & \ell_{78} & \ell_{88} \end{array}\right),$$

where all indicated entries $\ell_{i,j} = (L_1)_{i,j}$ are nonzero, see Section 5.

For general N, with the notation $E_{i,j}$ for the standard basis of matrices, we have that L_1 is of the form

$$L_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \ell_{2j,2j} E_{2j,2j} + \ell_{2j-1,2j} \left(E_{2j-1,2j} + E_{2j,2j-1}\right) + \ell_{2j,2j+2} \left(E_{2j,2j+2} + E_{2j+2,2j}\right)$$

(note that $\ell_{0,2} = \ell_{2N+2,2N+4} = 0$). Its 2×2 blocks are of the form

$$(L_1)^{j,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ell_{2j+1,2j+2} \\ \ell_{2j+1,2j+2} & \ell_{2j+2,2j+2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (L_1)^{j-1,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_{2j,2j+2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the coefficients $\ell_{i,j} = (L_1)_{i,j}$ were given in Section 5.

One can see by induction that

$$\det(L_1) = (-1)^{N+1} \left(\ell_{1,2}\ell_{3,4} \cdots \ell_{2N+1,2N+2}\right)^2 = (-1)^{N+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} \left(\ell_{2j-1,2j}\right)^2.$$

Assume that λ is an eigenvalue of L_1 and thus non-zero, and denote by X one of its eigenvectors. We will show that X is a scalar multiple of a certain vector that depends only on the matrix L_1 and the eigenvalue in question. This shows that the geometric multiplicity of λ is one.

If $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_{2N+2})$ are the components of X, the scalar equations resulting from

$$L_1 X = \lambda X$$

break up into two different groups: the equations given by the odd entries, $1, 3, \ldots, 2N+1$, of the vector equation above, namely

$$\ell_{2j-1,2j} x_{2j} - \lambda x_{2j-1} = 0, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, N+1, \tag{7}$$

and the equations given by the even entries, $2, 4, \ldots, 2N+2$, namely

$$\ell_{2j,2j+2} x_{2j+2} + (\ell_{2j,2j} - \lambda) x_{2j} + \ell_{2j-1,2j} x_{2j-1} + \ell_{2j-2,2j} x_{2j-2} = 0,$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, N+1$, with the convention $\ell_{0,2} = \ell_{2N+2,2N+4} = 0$.

The first set of equations allows us to write $x_1, x_3, x_5, \ldots, x_{2N+1}$ in terms of $x_2, x_4, x_6, \ldots, x_{2N+2}$. We get

$$x_{2j-1} = \frac{\ell_{2j-1,2j}}{\lambda} x_{2j}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N+1. \tag{8}$$

By replacing (8) in (7) we get, for $j = 1, \ldots, N+1$,

$$\ell_{2j,2j+2} x_{2j+2} + \left(\ell_{2j,2j} - \lambda + \frac{(\ell_{2j-1,2j})^2}{\lambda} \right) x_{2j} + \ell_{2j-2,2j} x_{2j-2} = 0. \tag{9}$$

This set of equations allows us to find, successively, the entries x_{2j+2} in terms of x_2, for $j = 1, \ldots, N$. For $j = 1$ we obtain

$$x_4 = -\frac{1}{\ell_{2,4}} \left(\ell_{2,2} - \lambda + \frac{(\ell_{1,2})^2}{\lambda} \right) x_2.$$

For $j = 2$ and using this expression for x_4, we get

$$x_6 = \frac{1}{\ell_{2,4}\ell_{4,6}} \left(-(\ell_{2,4})^2 + \left(\ell_{4,4} - \lambda + \frac{(\ell_{3,4})^2}{\lambda} \right) \left(\ell_{2,2} - \lambda + \frac{(\ell_{1,2})^2}{\lambda} \right) \right) x_2.$$

Our strategy is now clear, by successively solving the equations (9) and using the relation between x_{2j} and x_{2j-1} we obtain expressions for $x_2, x_3, x_4, \ldots$ etc. as quantities built out of L_1 and λ all multiplied by the free parameter x_1.

This goes on till we try to solve the last equation in (9), with $j = N+1$, where we meet our only restriction, namely

$$\left(\ell_{2N+2,2N+2} - \lambda + \frac{(\ell_{2N+1,2N+2})^2}{\lambda} \right) x_{2N+1} + \ell_{2N,2N+2} x_{2N} = 0.$$

With the variables $x_2, x_3, x_4, \ldots, x_{2N+1}, x_{2N+2}$ all expressed in the desired form (i.e., as certain multiples of x_1) the last equation becomes a polynomial in elements of L_1 and the unknown quantity λ all multiplied by x_1. Except for this factor this is just the characteristic polynomial for L_1 and by assumption λ is a root of it.

7 Explicit expression for the matrix M

Writing down nice and explicit expressions for the entries of M is not easy. We display here some of the entries whose expressions are not too involved.

We start with computing explicitly the entries of the matrix $M^{0,0} = \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{\alpha} Q_0(x) W(x) Q_0(x)^* dx$. By the definition of the weight matrix given in (1) and the explicit expression of the Q_0 given in (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^{0,0} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)} \int_{-1}^{\alpha} \left(1 - x^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{px^2 + n - p}{n - p + 1} & -\dfrac{nx}{\sqrt{(p+1)(n-p+1)}} \\ -\dfrac{nx}{\sqrt{(p+1)(n-p+1)}} & \dfrac{(n-p)x^2 + p}{p+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} M_{11}^{0,0} & M_{12}^{0,0} \\ M_{21}^{0,0} & M_{22}^{0,0} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$M_{12}^{0,0} = M_{21}^{0,0} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(1-\alpha^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi(p+1)(n-p+1)}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}.$$

We also have

$$M_{11}^{0,0} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{(n-p+1)\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \times \left(\tfrac{1}{3}px^3\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{3}{2}\\ \frac{5}{2}\end{matrix};x^2\right) + (n-p)x\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-\frac{n}{2}+1,\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{3}{2}\end{matrix};x^2\right)\right)\Bigg|_{-1}^{\alpha}.$$

Computing the hypergeometric function at $x=1$ we get

$$M_{11}^{0,0} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}p\alpha^3\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-n/2+1,3/2\\ 5/2\end{matrix};\alpha^2\right) + (n-p)\alpha\,{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-n/2+1,1/2\\ 3/2\end{matrix};\alpha^2\right)\right)}{(n-p+1)\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (10)$$

The expression of $M_{22}^{0,0}$ can be obtained from $M_{11}^{0,0}$ by changing p by $n-p$, see (6).

7.1 The matrix $\widetilde{M}$

To simplify some calculations that follow and to avoid some square roots, we consider the following matrix $\widetilde{M}$. This matrix is close to the matrix M and it is defined as a matrix of size $2(N+1)\times 2(N+1)$, whose (i,j)-block is the 2×2 matrix given by

$$\widetilde{M}^{i,j} = \int_{-1}^{\alpha} P_i(x)W(x)P_j(x)^*dx \qquad \text{for} \quad 0\le i,j\le N.$$

We observe that $\widetilde{M}$ is defined in the same way as M by using the sequence $(P_w)_{w\ge 0}$ of matrix orthogonal polynomial instead of the orthonormal polynomials $(Q_w)_{w\ge 0}$.

The sequences of orthogonal polynomials $(P_w)_{w\ge 0}$ and $(Q_w)_{w\ge 0}$ are related by $Q_w = S_wP_w$, where $S_w = \|P_w\|^{-1}$ (see (3)). Thus the blocks of the matrices M and $\widetilde{M}$ are related by

$$M^{i,j} = S_i\tilde{M}^{i,j}S_j^* \qquad \text{for} \quad 0\le i,j\le N.$$

Since the matrices S_j are diagonal matrices, we have that

$$M_{11}^{i,j} = (S_i)_{11}(S_j)_{11}\widetilde{M}_{11}^{i,j}, \qquad M_{22}^{i,j} = (S_i)_{22}(S_j)_{22}\widetilde{M}_{22}^{i,j},$$
$$M_{12}^{i,j} = (S_i)_{11}(S_j)_{22}\widetilde{M}_{12}^{i,j}, \qquad M_{21}^{i,j} = (S_i)_{22}(S_j)_{11}\widetilde{M}_{21}^{i,j}.$$

Explicitly,

$$S_j = \sqrt{\frac{j!(n+1)(n+2j+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{[j/2]}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1+[\frac{j}{2}]\right)\prod_{k=0}^{[(j-1)/2]}(n+2k+1)}} \times \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{p+j}{p(n-p+j+1)}} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{n-p+j}{(n-p)(p+j+1)}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

7.2 Non diagonal elements for all the blocks of M

By direct computations we obtained the following expression for the non diagonal elements of each 2×2 block $\widetilde{M}^{i,j}$.

For $0 \leq i,\, j \leq N$ we get

$$\widetilde{M}^{i,j}_{1,2} = \frac{\big(1-\alpha^2\big)^{n/2} p(n-p)}{(n+1)^2(p+i)(n-p+j)} C_i^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\alpha) C_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\alpha),$$
$$\widetilde{M}^{i,j}_{2,1} = \frac{\big(1-\alpha^2\big)^{n/2} p(n-p)}{(n+1)^2(p+j)(n-p+i)} C_i^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\alpha) C_j^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\alpha),$$

where $C_n^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\alpha)$ denotes the n-th Gegenbauer polynomial in α.

We observe that these expressions determine the entries $M_{2i+1,2j}$ and $M_{2i,2j+1}$ of the matrix M, for $1 \leq i,\, j \leq N+1$, are given by

$$M_{2i+1,2j} = M^{i,j-1}_{1,2} = (S_i)_{11}(S_{j-1})_{22}\widetilde{M}^{i,j}_{1,2}, \qquad M_{2i,2j+1} = M^{i-1,j}_{2,1} = (S_{i-1})_{22}(S_j)_{11}\widetilde{M}^{i,j}_{2,1}.$$

7.3 The diagonal entries of non diagonal blocks of M

Here we give the diagonal entries of the non diagonal blocks of $\widetilde{M}$, i.e., the elements $\widetilde{M}^{j,k}_{11}$ and $\widetilde{M}^{j,k}_{22}$, with $j \neq k$.

For $1 \leq j,\, k \leq N$, $j \neq k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{M}^{j-1,k-1}_{11} = \frac{p\big(1-\alpha^2\big)^{n/2}}{(k-j)(j+k+n-1)(n+1)^2} \Bigg(& \frac{j(n-p+j-1)(n-p+k)}{n+2j-1} C_j(\alpha) C_{k-1}(\alpha) \\ & - \frac{k(n-p+k-1)(n-p+j)}{n+2k-1} C_{j-1}(\alpha) C_k(\alpha) \\ & + \frac{(p+j)(n+j-1)(n-p+j)(n-p+k)}{(p+j-1)(n+2j-1)} C_{j-2}(\alpha) C_{k-1}(\alpha) \\ & - \frac{(p+k)(n+k-1)(n-p+j)(n-p+k)}{(p+k-1)(n+2k-1)} C_{j-1}(\alpha) C_{k-2}(\alpha) \Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

The element $\widetilde{M}^{j-1,k-1}_{22}$ is obtained from $\widetilde{M}^{j-1,k-1}_{11}$ by changing p by $n-p$.

These expressions determine the entries $M_{2j-1,2k-1}$ and $M_{2j,2k}$ of the matrix M, for $1 \leq j \neq k \leq N+1$.

$$M_{2j-1,2k-1} = M^{j-1,k-1}_{1,1} = (S_{j-1})_{11}(S_{k-1})_{11}\widetilde{M}^{j-1,k-1}_{1,1},$$
$$M_{2j,2k} = M^{j-1,k-1}_{2,2} = (S_{j-1})_{22}(S_{k-1})_{22}\widetilde{M}^{j-1,k-1}_{2,2}.$$

7.4 The main diagonal of M

At this point we have explicit expressions of all non diagonal entries of M (and $\widetilde{M}$). Now we want to describe how to obtain the elements $M^{i,i}_{11} = M_{2i+1,2i+1}$ and $M^{i,i}_{22} = M_{2i+2,2i+2}$, in terms of the known coefficients.

Starting with the equation $(ML - LM)_{2j,2j+2} = 0$, with $L = L_1$ and $j = 1, \ldots, N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& M_{2j,2j} - M_{2j-1,2j-1} \\ & \quad = (L_{2j-1,2j})^{-1}\big(M_{2j-1,2j}L_{2j,2j} + M_{2j-1,2j-2}L_{2j-2,2j} + M_{2j-1,2j+2}L_{2j,2j+2}\big).\end{aligned}$$

The equation $(ML - LM)_{2j,2j+2} = 0$ is

$$M_{2j+2,2j+2} - M_{2j,2j} = (L_{2j,2j+2})^{-1}\big(M_{2j,2j+2}(L_{2j+2,2j+2} - L_{2j,2j}) + M_{2j,2j+1}L_{2j+1,2j+2} - M_{2j-1,2j+2}L_{2j-1,2j} + M_{2j,2j+4}L_{2j+2,2j+4} - M_{2j-2,2j+2}L_{2j-2,2j}\big).$$

By using (6) we have that the element $M_{22}^{j-1,k-1}$ is obtained from $M_{11}^{j-1,k-1}$ by changing p into $n-p$.

Since we already know the explicit value of $M_{1,1}$ (see (10)), these expressions allow us to determine all the diagonal elements of M.

8 Some numerical results

In this last section we display the results of some numerical computations. This should make clear the importance of having found, as above, a matrix such as L_1 for a given M.

Our point becomes very clear even if we use a small value of N and a value of α pretty close to 1. If we had chosen a larger value of N the phenomenon in question would be present for an even larger range of values of α. For our illustration we choose $n = 27$, $p = 15$, and finally $N = 2$ and $\alpha = 9/10$.

If our task is to compute the eigenvectors of M we can use the QR algorithm as implemented in LAPACK. The results are recorded below, where we denote by X the matrix of eigenvectors (normalized and given as columns of X) and by D the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. The matrix X is

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0.046636 & -.0318428 & 0.294888 & -.953861 & -0.0995136 & -.0406174 \\ -.0424748 & -.0353152 & -.241903 & -.0756026 & .852604 & -.429742 \\ .214609 & -.17084 & .649236 & -.216917 & .0981185 & -.654981 \\ -.19526 & -.189172 & -.589859 & -.18561 & .494728 & 0.569823 \\ .706579 & -.64988 & -.215899 & 0.0105047 & -.0312226 & .174508 \\ -.642327 & -.714438 & .19614 & .0530856 & -.0883495 & -.171814 \end{pmatrix}$$

The question is: should we trust the result produced by this high quality numerical package? One could be quite satisfied by observing that the difference

$$MX - XD$$

is indeed very small. On the other hand LAPACK reports for eigenvalues of M, with appropriate rounding-off, the values 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0. This should be a red flag.

Recall that the eigenvectors of L_1 should agree (up to order) with those of M. If we denote the matrix made up of the normalized eigenvectors of L_1 by Y we get

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} .641473 & .227019 & .0318478 & -.674518 & .280734 & -.0466363 \\ .688247 & .247073 & .0350924 & .628845 & -.258435 & .0424743 \\ -.229364 & .613934 & .170768 & .280102 & .645602 & -.214607 \\ -0.24584 & .667491 & .187976 & -.260873 & -.593721 & .195257 \\ 0.028825 & -.172614 & .649883 & -.0405441 & -.214796 & -.706573 \\ .0308702 & -.187518 & 0.71478 & .0377295 & .197372 & .642335 \end{pmatrix}$$

For the eigenvalues of L_1, LAPACK returns the values 6.46314, 6.55601, 6.63761, −5.61601, −5.54541, −5.4863 a reasonably spread out spectrum.

If we compute the matrix of inner products given by

$$Y^T X$$

we expect to have the identity matrix up to some permutation and possibly some signs due to the normalization of the eigenvectors which are the columns of X and Y. In our case we get for the moduli of the entries of $Y^T X$ the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4.65e^{-7} & 1.71e^{-4} & .019 & .667 & .671 & .021 \\ 2.83e^{-6} & 9.61e^{-4} & .013 & 0.234 & .2244 & .9602 \\ 9.85e^{-6} & .9999 & 7.801e^{-4} & 2.385e^{-4} & 4.395e^{-7} & 8.81e^{-4} \\ 7.49e^{-7} & 1.61e^{-4} & 8.569e^{-4} & 0.707 & .707 & .278 \\ 3.93e^{-6} & 7.89e^{-4} & .9997 & .0147 & .007 & .0115 \\ 1.0 & 9.84e^{-6} & 3.89e^{-6} & 9.61e^{-7} & 1.46e^{-6} & 2.55e^{-6} \end{pmatrix}$$

The reader will observe that some of the entries of this matrix are indeed very close to the theoretically correct values, while others are terribly off. The reason is that for this choice of α there are a few eigenvalues of M that are just too close together. This produces numerical instability in the computation of the corresponding eigenvectors. On the other hand all the eigenvalues of L_1 are nicely separated, and the corresponding eigenvectors can be trusted.

In summary, a good way to obtain good numerical values for the eigenvectors of M is to forget about M altogether and to compute numerically the eigenvectors of L_1. Not only we will then be dealing with a very sparse matrix for which the QR algorithm works very fast (most of the work is avoided) but the problem is numerically very well conditioned. For a discussion of the sensitivity of eigenvectors and their dependence on the separation of the corresponding eigenvalues one can consult [2, 30] as well as [17, p. 15 and p. 222].

As a referee has pointed out, these numerical problems are not new in our situation involving matrix valued functions, but already appear in the classical scalar case, and this phenomenon is well documented. The important point is that even in the matrix valued case we can exhibit commuting tridiagonal matrices that play the same role of the "prolate spheroidal differential operator" in the scalar case.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by the Applied Mathematical Sciences subprogram of the Office of Energy Research, USDOE, under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098, by AFOSR grant FA95501210087 through a subcontract to Carnegie Mellon University, by CONICET grant PIP 112-200801-01533, by SeCyT-UNC and by the Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellows Program.

References

[1] Bonami A., Karoui A., Uniform approximation and explicit estimates for the prolate spheroidal wave functions, arXiv:1405.3676.

[2] Demmel J.W., Applied numerical linear algebra, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1997.

[3] Duistermaat J.J., Grünbaum F.A., Differential equations in the spectral parameter, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 177–240.

[4] Grünbaum F.A., A new property of reproducing kernels for classical orthogonal polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **95** (1983), 491–500.

[5] Grünbaum F.A., Some new explorations into the mystery of time and band limiting, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **13** (1992), 328–349.

[6] Grünbaum F.A., Band-time-band limiting integral operators and commuting differential operators, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **8** (1997), 93–96.

[7] Grünbaum F.A., The bispectral problem: an overview, in Special Functions 2000: Current Perspective and Future Directions (Tempe, AZ), *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, Vol. 30, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, 129–140.

[8] Grünbaum F.A., Longhi L., Perlstadt M., Differential operators commuting with finite convolution integral operators: some nonabelian examples, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **42** (1982), 941–955.

[9] Grünbaum F.A., Yakimov M., The prolate spheroidal phenomenon as a consequence of bispectrality, in Superintegrability in Classical and Quantum Systems, *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, Vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, 301–312, math-ph/0303041.

[10] Jahn K., Bokor N., Revisiting the concentration problem of vector fields within a spherical cap: a commuting differential operator solution, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **20** (2014), 421–451, arXiv:1302.5261.

[11] Jamming P., Karoui A., Spektor S., The approximation of almost time and band limited functions by their expansion in some orthogonal polynomial bases, arXiv:1501.03655.

[12] Landau H.J., Pollak H.O., Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. II, *Bell System Tech. J.* **40** (1961), 65–84.

[13] Landau H.J., Pollak H.O., Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. III. The dimension of the space of essentially time- and band-limited signals, *Bell System Tech. J.* **41** (1962), 1295–1336.

[14] Melkman A.A., n-widths and optimal interpolation of time- and band-limited functions, in Optimal Estimation in Approximation Theory (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Freudenstadt, 1976), Editors C.A. Michelli, T. Rivlin, Plenum, New York, 1977, 55–68.

[15] Osipov A., Rokhlin V., Xiao H., Prolate spheroidal wave functions of order zero. Mathematical tools for bandlimited approximation, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 187, Springer, New York, 2013.

[16] Pacharoni I., Zurrian I., Matrix ultraspherical polynomials: the 2×2 fundamental cases, *Constr. Approx.*, to appear, arXiv:1309.6902.

[17] Parlett B.N., The symmetric eigenvalue problem, *Prentice-Hall Series in Computational Mathematics*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1980.

[18] Perlstadt M., Chopped orthogonal polynomial expansions – some discrete cases, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* **4** (1983), 94–100.

[19] Perlstadt M., A property of orthogonal polynomial families with polynomial duals, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **15** (1984), 1043–1054.

[20] Plattner A., Simons F.J., Spatiospectral concentration of vector fields on a sphere, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **36** (2014), 1–22, arXiv:1306.3201.

[21] Shannon C.E., A mathematical theory of communication, *Bell System Tech. J.* **27** (1948), 379–423.

[22] Shannon C.E., A mathematical theory of communication, *Bell System Tech. J.* **27** (1948), 623–656.

[23] Simons F.J., Dahlen F.A., Spherical Slepian functions on the polar gap in geodesy, *Geophys. J. Int.* **166** (2006), 1039–1061, math.ST/0603271.

[24] Simons F.J., Dahlen F.A., Wieczorek M.A., Spatiospectral concentration on a sphere, *SIAM Rev.* **48** (2006), 504–536, math.CA/0408424.

[25] Slepian D., Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainity. IV. Extensions to many dimensions; generalized prolate spheroidal functions, *Bell System Tech. J.* **43** (1964), 3009–3057.

[26] Slepian D., On bandwidth, *Proc. IEEE* **64** (1976), 292–300.

[27] Slepian D., Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainity. IV. The discrete case, *Bell System Tech. J.* **57** (1978), 1371–1430.

[28] Slepian D., Some comments on Fourier analysis, uncertainty and modeling, *SIAM Rev.* **25** (1983), 379–393.

[29] Slepian D., Pollak H.O., Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. I, *Bell System Tech. J.* **40** (1961), 43–63.

[30] Stewart G.W., Matrix algorithms. Vol. II. Eigensystems, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2001.

[31] Tirao J.A., Zurrián I.N., Spherical functions of fundamental K-types associated with the n-dimensional sphere, *SIGMA* **10** (2014), 071, 41 pages, arXiv:1312.0909.

[32] Weyl H., The theory of groups and quantum mechanics, Dutton, New York, 1931.